

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/863,928	0:	5/23/2001	Lin Wang	211534 .	1613	
22908	7590	10/04/2005		EXAMINER		
BANNER &		•	HUSON, MONICA A			
TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3000				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606	!	1732			
				DATE MAIL ED: 10/04/200	DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/863,928	WANG ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .					
		Monica A. Huson	1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	·							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 J</u>	ulv 2005.						
		s action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-32</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 33-38</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	Application Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🗌	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) D Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Tr PTOL-326 (R		ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 092005					

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the paper filed 7 July 2005.

The Double Patenting rejection stated in the paper mailed 7 February 2005 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eastman et al. (U.S. Patent 4,465,702), in view of Rose et al. (U.S. Patent 6,284,359), as stated in the paper mailed 7 February 2005.

Claims 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eastman and Rose, as applied to claims 1 and 33, respectively, above, further in view of Hansen et al. (U.S. Patent 2,464,081), as stated in the paper mailed 7 February 2005.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7 July 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that Eastman does not teach a soluble starch. This is not persuasive because Eastman clearly discusses the solubility of his starch at Column 7, lines 26-34. His starch does, in fact, meet the limitations of the instant claim.

Applicant contends that Eastman does not show an extruder. This is not persuasive because Eastman was not cited to show that limitation.

Applicant contends that Rose does not show the solubility of his materials. This is not persuasive because Rose was not cited to teach solubility, although he does teach the specific material claimed in Claim 1, lines 4-5, which is believed to meet applicant's own limitations of solubility.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/863,928

Art Unit: 1732

ib Control Number: 07/803,72

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica A. Huson whose telephone number is 571-272-1198.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mah

September 20, 2005

MICHAEL P. COLAIANNI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER